

## Reality Check: The Parameter Swap

### Statypus Insight: The “Ethereal” vs. The “Real”

In Chapter 9 and Section 10.1, we operated in a world of **Statistical Perfection**. We assumed we knew the “Truth” ( $p$  or  $\sigma$ ). In the *Clocktower* and the *Water Audit*, we had a manufacturer’s guarantee or a census to lean on. But as a Lead Engineer, you will quickly find that the “Truth” is usually hidden. We don’t know the population noise ( $\sigma$ ). We only have the noise we can see in our hands: the **Sample Standard Deviation** ( $s$ ).

### 1. The Notation Upgrade

#### Calculation: The Notation Upgrade

**Task:** Rewrite the Null and Alternative hypotheses by swapping the Chapter 9 parameter ( $p$ ) for the Chapter 10 parameter ( $\mu$ ). Note that we use the subscript “0” to represent our Null value or “Status Quo.”

**Chapter 9 Reference (Proportions):**  $H_0 : p = p_0$  vs.  $H_a : p \neq p_0$

### 2. The Standard Error as a “Proxy Ruler”

In the 10.1 Audit, we calculated the “Sniper Rifle” precision using  $\sigma_{\bar{x}} = \sigma/\sqrt{n}$ . Now, since  $\sigma$  is missing, we use  $s$  as a **proxy**. We view the **Standard Error** ( $SE$ ) as our **best guess** of the true standard deviation of the sampling distribution ( $\sigma_{\bar{x}}$ ):

$$SE = \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}$$

#### Reflection: The Proxy Ruler

**Discussion Box:** If your sample is tiny (like  $n = 5$ ), why does our “ruler” feel more like a **rubber band** than a **steel rod**?

### 3. Summarizing the Sample

#### Coding Corner: Summarizing the Sample

Using the `mean()` and `sd()` functions in R, summarize the following 5 Statypus Water bottles:

500.2, 500.5, 500.1, 500.8, 500.4

Calculated Sample Mean ( $\bar{x}$ ):

Calculated Sample Standard Deviation ( $s$ ):

Your “Best Guess” Ruler ( $SE$ ):

### 4. The Lead Engineer’s Audit

#### Reality Check: Translating Scenarios

Translate these business scenarios into the new  $\mu$  notation.

Scenario	Your Hypotheses ( $H_0$ and $H_a$ )
The label says 500mL. We want to prove we are over-filling.	$H_0 : \mu = 500$ $H_a : \mu > 500$
The machine is set to 500.5mL. We suspect the calibration has shifted.	
A competitor claims their bottles average 501mL. We think they are lying (less).	

## 5. The Universal Logic

### Calculation: The Universal Logic

**Task:** Sketch a **Standard Normal Curve** ( $z$ ). Center your curve at 0 and mark the scale in standard deviations from -3 to 3. On this curve, mark where your calculated Standard Error ( $SE$ ) falls relative to the center.

## 6. Final Audit Reflection

### Reflection: Final Audit Reflection

If you find that your sample mean ( $\bar{x}$ ) is 3 Standard Errors away from the Null ( $\mu_0$ ), mark that spot on your curve in Part 5. Does the **logic** of the “Verdict” change just because the units changed? Is a 3-standard-deviation event any less rare just because we are auditing a mean instead of a proportion?